

If you are right, you should send the abstract to Nature at once. If not, you must modify. Perhaps 5 is only a small integer, & then your result would be fairly true.

I don't know what to do — whether to move, or to pick up, or both. One good night would be worth waiting for till Wednesday night. I'm in a regular fix.

ORAWONA
STREETE
CO WESTMEATH

10/15

11th Jan. 1897.

Φ,

No stars !!!

We have been trying to mend a Sprengel Pump to make a Crookes vacuum; but beyond a certain point of exhaustion we can't get; the joints are faulty somewhere.

Wilson is going to send an Abstract of the last paper on your exp^s with Nitrogen, Oxygen, Hydrogen, &c., & the are to Nature. This abstract accompanies this letter, in order that you may consider a doubt which occurs to me about your calculation of the state of affairs for Carbon Vapour in

contact with the liquid (or solid) Carbon.

STREET

117 WEST 123RD ST

I presume that in your equation

Δv means $v_2 - v_1$, where $v_2 =$ volume of 1 gram of vapour & $v_1 =$ vol. of 1 gram of liquid (or solid). You make $\Delta v = 10^4$ about. [Your "g.p." is very awkward & misleading in appearance.]

Now, if I am right in supposing that Δv is as above, we may say that Δv is simply $v_2 \because v_1$ is very small.

But unless we know the specific gravity of carbon vapour with respect to air, we can't find Δv , and I think that

$$\Delta v = \frac{10^4}{s}, \text{ \& not } = 10^4,$$

where $s =$ sp. gr. of carbon vapour.

Thus from the = " $\frac{\text{liters}}{\text{gram}} \times \rho \cdot s$

$$W(\text{grams}) = .4645 \frac{v \times \rho \cdot s}{T}$$

We have, if pressure = 1 atmosphere,

$$1 = .4645 \frac{v \times 760}{3800} \cdot s$$

$$10/15 = \frac{.4645 v \times 5 \times 2}{10} = \frac{v s}{10} \text{ (about)}$$

$$\therefore v(\text{liters}) = \frac{10}{s} \text{ } \textcircled{10}$$

$$\therefore v(\text{cub. cm}) = \frac{10^4}{s}$$

It looks as if you had overlooked $\frac{s}{s}$; but I may be wrong.

Now if I am right & s is unknown, your result will be

$$\frac{\delta T}{T} = \frac{10^{10}}{s \cdot \lambda}$$

and a great deal may depend on s . If $s = 10$, your δT instead of being 220 will be 22; if $s = 100$, $\delta T = 2.2$, so that your conclusions would not be correct.

However, I may not correctly understand your thermodynamic equation, & perhaps you are right after all.