

I am not ~~clear~~ clear what the absorption would be in this case. The calculation of the diff in the power of the particles when vibrating under the influence of the force & when vibrating harmonically under the force $(-p \cdot)$ becomes very complicated.

In the case of phosphorescent bodies Bequerel rejected the force $(\frac{dv}{dt})$ as it led to the law $i = i_0 e^{-\kappa t}$ for the extinction of the phosphorescent light which he found not to be true & used a force $\pm \gamma (\frac{dv}{dt})^2$. From which he deduces results which appear to agree with exp. I think that if we regard the ether as vibrating then $U = A \cos pt$ U being the displacement at any instant in space & if $\gamma =$ that of molecule. we have then

$$\frac{d^2 \gamma}{dt^2} + \kappa \gamma \pm \gamma \left[\frac{d}{dt} (U - \gamma) \right]^2 = 0$$

Let $v = U - \gamma$ & this reduces to

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dt^2} + \alpha v + \beta \left(\frac{dv}{dt} \right)^2 = \gamma \cos pt.$$

I can get $(\frac{dv}{dt})^2 = A e^{\lambda v} + B v + C$ for the upper side = 0. ~~& then expand in series~~ but I don't see how we can get any farther.

J. B. Brown

Owens College
Manchester

Dec 5th - 1895

15/20

My dear Mr FitzGerald.

I am afraid my last letter was rather diffuse. Mr Schuster tells me that we shall have you over here soon, when I hope I may be able to present my difficulties more clearly.

My experiments on the relation between absorption & fluorescence are at length working satisfactorily & seem to show a marked difference in the former for the rays of 'true dispersion' where fluorescence takes place.

The question about whether the absorption would depend upon the amplitude of the vibrating molecules (or I suppose ^{more properly} is a difficult one! the vibrating electrons,] ~~is a difficult one!~~ You say if an alternating EMF $e = e_0 \cos pt$ doing work on an alternating current $c = c_0 \cos pt$, it does work at the rate $= \frac{1}{2} e_0 c_0 = \text{power}$ \therefore power absorbed is greater the greater c_0 .

I investigated the question in this manner. If a particle vibrating harmonically be acted upon by a force which varies harmonically & if the two are in the same phase we have

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + p^2 y = f_0 \cos pt.$$

which gives $\frac{dy}{dt} = A t \cos pt + B \sin pt$
 $y = \frac{A t}{p} \sin pt + y_0 \cos pt$

where y_0 is the max displacement of particle.

Power of vibrating particle ^{which absorbs} / or ^{increase in its} mean energy ~~absorbed~~

$$= \frac{m}{T^2} \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dy}{dt} \right)^2 dt = \frac{m}{4} \frac{y_0^2 p^2}{T}$$

which comes out to be $= \frac{m}{4} \left[\frac{f_0^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4p^2 T} \right) - \frac{f_0 y_0}{T} \right]$
 but $p = \frac{2\pi}{T}$
 $= \frac{m}{4} \left[\frac{f_0^2}{4} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4p^2 T} \right) \cdot T - \frac{f_0 y_0}{T} \right]$
 $= \left[\alpha \cdot T - \beta \cdot \frac{y_0}{T} \right]$

So that accordingly the greater y_0 the less the absorption. If this be so then bodies at a high temperature ought to be absorb less than at low temperatures, which might account for ^{any} bodies becoming transparent ^{in the course of} being heated.

Schuster thinks a ~~term~~ ^{force} such as $k \left(\frac{dy}{dt} \right)$ should be introduced into the equation. In which case writing the eqⁿ thus

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + \gamma \frac{dy}{dt} + p^2 y = f_0 \cos pt$$

we get $y = e^{\alpha t} \left[A e^{\beta t} + B e^{-\beta t} \right] + \frac{f_0}{\delta p} \sin pt.$

where $\beta = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} - p^2}$
 $\alpha = -\frac{\gamma}{2}$