

(as the chance of catching  
you seemed small) but  
looked up Joly at College  
and saw his most cute  
melting point investigator

Yours faithfully

J Brown

Belair

15/26 June 14<sup>th</sup> 1891

My dear George

I send a copy of  
paper in Phil Mag. <sup>in my last</sup>  
The cell I mentioned <sup>is</sup>  
one of several devised to  
try to clear up the difficulty  
mentioned in connection  
with Mg in aqueous  
solutions on p 462 footnote

To get rid of water I  
try fused chlorides  
In reply to your batch of  
queries the cell was  
merely tested on electrometer  
& <sup>EMF</sup> remains steady of

temperature steady & falls  
with decrease of temp.

The two fluid chlorides were  
separated by a sand  
partition in tube but the

<sup>2MgCl<sub>2</sub> & Al<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub></sup> may have diffused somewhat  
into ZnCl - If the reverse  
of this occurs the E.M.F.  
tends to 0 or near it  
probably zinc reduces or  
Al.

The Al is positive plate  
& the Zn. thus agrees  
in sense with theory from  
heats of comb<sup>n</sup> with  
chlorine. I do not think  
it likely <sup>that</sup> oxide or Al. has  
an effect, though in Wright & J.  
expts this made temp. in wrong  
direction - in exp. 201<sup>n</sup>

Zinc & Magnesium in fused  
ZnCl<sub>2</sub> some abd. .9 D  
and 15/26

Zn | ZnCl<sub>2</sub> | MgCl<sub>2</sub> NaCl | Mg

Gives about 1.14

(Theory 1.08) - but some  
thing happened after my first  
reading of this exp<sup>t</sup> & it  
needs confirming.

I used the double chlorides  
because of their lower melting  
points. I intend trying  
a few modifications of this  
kind of cell to eliminate  
aqueous action. There would  
seem so far to be some  
kind of thermo effect super-  
added to chem. heat of comb<sup>n</sup> effect.

I was sorry not to see you  
that day I called. I did  
not go to Technical school