

of their neighbours and so on, so  
that we cannot find any assemblage  
of molecules (which are isolated from  
the action of all the rest) we have  
a condition which baffles most  
investigators - You have probably  
seen Burbury's recent attempts  
to deal with crowded molecules  
just read before the Royal Society  
~~but it is to~~ which seem to suggest  
that it is very doubtful how far  
~~in conclusions~~ the Boltzmann  
Maxwell distributed " applies  
to solids & liquids\*. I quite agree  
that the unsatisfactory part of the

\*Thus, for aught we know at present, the solution  
of the difficulty may lie in condit<sup>(a)</sup> of your letter as  
you think & this is ~~the~~ most promising direction to seek for it

Thornlea,  
Trumpington Road,  
Cambridge.

15/98

11 Feb. 1895.

Dear Fitzgerald

You have doubtless heard  
that Boltzmann's letter in  
nature which will probably be  
out this week is to be a final  
decision as regards the present  
discuss<sup>n</sup> & is to be the last letter  
in nature for the present.

With regard to the two concepts  
(a)  
you name, I do say that <sub>n</sub> in cases

where (as in a small number of  
bodies such as the members of  
the solar system) all possible  
motions are not simultaneously  
represented, we cannot apply the  
statistical method. Where we have  
to deal with a small number of  
bodies like the planets, we can  
work their motions out exactly  
and of course cannot <sup>and do not want to</sup> infer the probability  
of ~~the~~ any particular distribution of  
energy from any law of averages because  
we know the actual distribution at every  
instant - Is it not as if we were to  
try to make statistical calculations

as to the death rate in a community  
consisting of half a dozen inhabitants  
This would be too small a number for  
the observations to be of much value in  
predicting how often a death would take place.  
~~Such with a limitation~~ It is only  
when we have a population of some  
thousands to deal with that we can  
assert any definite law as to the  
average number of deaths occurring  
every year, and the greater the population  
the ~~more exactly~~ <sup>less deviation should we get from</sup> ~~we get~~  
an average. But this condition does not  
seem to apply to solids, liquids or the ether &c.  
As to condition (b) No say that  
if one molecule acts on a large  
number of its neighbours, these  
again act on a large number  
15/98

constructed the opposite way - this  
is such a difficult subject to make  
one's meaning clear in. As regards  
using generalized coordinates in this  
work, that enables us to deal  
with the translatory & rotatory energy  
of molecules & put the equations  
into a simpler form than if we  
were to use less general methods.  
I have not Watson's book here but there  
is one determinant in it, depending on  
 $u, v, w, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  &c which he prints out  
in an elaborate way & then shows that  
it comes down to be equal to unity (quite  
by accident as it appears) whereas if we  
had used generalized coordinates he would  
have seen that this is a necessary consequence  
of the simple properties of Jacobians <sup>and not</sup>  
and not a mere matter of chance.

With kind regards

Yours sincerely  
C. S. G. B. G. G.

Mr. Theory is that it does not  
go far enough & think that  
the medium of crowded molecules  
gives us quite a big enough  
fortress to concentrate our artillery  
on for the present. I don't  
know that my opinion is really  
different from yours except in  
thinking that we may be excused  
from having anything to do with  
the ether until we have got more  
definite means of attack at our  
disposal.

15/98

As regards the <sup>spheres</sup> ~~spheres~~ moving in  
liquids of course there is

a distinction between perfect  
& viscous liquids - If a sphere  
is moving thro' a viscous liquid  
the liquid will in time absorb  
all the energy, whereas if it  
moves in a perfect liquid the  
energy of the liquid will only be  
a certain fraction of that of the  
solid - Since we know that matter  
(such as the heavenly bodies) - can  
move through the ether without  
suffering appreciable retardation  
that seems to suggest that ~~so~~ in  
this one particular the ether behaves  
like a perfect rather than a

viscous liquid and that the  
law of distribut<sup>n</sup> of translatory  
& ~~each~~ <sup>rotatory</sup> energy among the molecules  
of a gas may be independent  
or unaffected by the presence of  
the ether.

15/98

~~to be~~ <sup>you</sup>

In what you say "that the  
simple cases sh<sup>d</sup> be worked out  
first & these generalizations  
left until we can approach them  
gradually" - has not this been rather  
the point of what little I have said  
on the matter? I have intended  
to emphasize this but perhaps  
what I said in my Report has been