

a linear function  
of  $T$ , but the  
reverse would  
emerge in the  
terms prop- to  
represent the  
republican rival  
of impacts. Do  
you see further  
than this into  
the question.

The Phil. Mag. are  
not treating me  
very well, post-  
poning to Jan.  
a paper reads for  
press about the  
end of Oct. For  
no reflections at  
the Pol. angle, & I

venture to  
the 11<sup>th</sup> rather Dec 1/91  
in the  
STATIONS.  
HATFIELD PEVEREL 2 1/2 M.  
WYTHAM 3 1/2 M.  
TELLING PLACE,  
WYTHAM, ESSEX.

Dear Prof Fitzgerald  
16<sup>th</sup> 29 I be difficultly  
I feel in this  
of the attractive  
ve of the kind  
supposed by v.d. Waals  
(v) is reduced  
to  $\alpha v^2$ . If it  
be wholly or in  
part of other  
kinds, of course  
 $\alpha v^2$  will be de-  
parted from,  
but then, as  
it seems to me,

