

apply it but calculates the
losses of an engine of a
cylinder may run engine by
a totally different rule.
Let me show you Germany
what I mean.



In any steam engine A
is the work done in the
boiler in raising the atmosphere
in the feed water pump, the
part marked B is done in
the cylinder during the time
the steam port is open. It is
also done in the boiler. The
part marked C is done during
expansion in the cylinder, and
is the only part to whom the
 $\frac{T-t}{T}$ rule can be applied.

Of course I don't agree
to this, but I can't help that.

As I have
calculated
better powers with
less economy with
you many see
to which good
it amounts after

19/58

Oct 18/89

My dear son

(1^d) I am not changing
the meaning of a word,
I only say that there are
two standards of efficiency
one the scientific, the other
the commercial.

(2) I never said that it
had.

(3^d) I never said that
the efficiency of a steam
engine is zero, I only
say that by the $\frac{T-t}{T}$ rule
it is zero, and that
means it is absurd to
apply that rule to it, I
note that owing close but

The steam fire engine has no exhaust, and consequently the fall in temperature by a large live does not repeat work.

(4) You are quite right, and I have already said that something must be added for the full pressure part of the stroke, which you in a former letter said was not right.

(5) I do not see what algebraic formula want more than that given by Ewing or Clausius.

Now let me put a question to you.

Remembering the indicated horse power the work done by the steam in the high pressure cylinder of the motor is exactly one half the total work expended in it. Does this apply the $\frac{T-t}{T}$

rule to this cylinder?

Respecting from the Clausius Standard, the power is just twice the mechanical efficiency.

My standard of efficiency is the total work done by the fluid. This is only a part of the work, because current has any other action on torque indicated horse power with consideration, why the very difference between the net and the total is one of the reasons why the efficiency of a real engine is less than that of a perfect engine.

James Watt 19/58

Robert Firth Gerald