

& its connections, though it leaves on  
one's mind a very overwhelming  
idea of the extremely little we  
know in comparison with what  
there is to be known! — I am so  
glad to get hold of a periodical  
like "Science Progress." — I have been  
looking for one in vain for such  
a long time, — & I should think  
there must be very many to whom  
it will be a great boon. —

With kind remembrances to Mrs  
Fitzgerald & yourself

Believe me to be

Yours very truly  
Emma Marie Paillard

WINGFIELD HOUSE,  
NO. TROWBRIDGE,  
WILTSHIRE.

19/77

April 30<sup>th</sup> 1894

Dear Professor Fitzgerald

I ordered the April number of  
the Contemporary to be sent you, con-  
taining a paper of mine on "The  
Divine Response to Human Capacity,"  
& I have now just written for the  
May number to be forwarded, as in  
it is the sequel to the April article,  
called "Personality as the Outcome  
of Evolution." There are others to  
follow, but I do not know when.

I have begun the book which I  
told you I was projecting, & am working  
at it steadily, so if I keep pretty well I  
hope to make good progress. — It will be



19/77

called The Objects of Knowledge, & will consist of an introductory chapter on the meaning of knowledge, then three principal sections. (1) Our Knowledge of Matter, <sup>subdivided into inorganic & organic</sup> (2) Our Knowledge of Spirit (3) Our Knowledge of God. - Finally there will be one or more concluding chapters to gather up the results arrived at, & indicate the relationships between the three heads of knowledge. - Does this scheme commend itself to you? - At present I am at work on the chapters on inorganic Matter, & am trying to keep steadily before the mind of the reader the idea to which all modern Science seems to point, & which I know is your own conviction.

that Motion is the basis of all the phenomena brought under our observation. -

I have taken as the fundamental property of Matter resistance to force. It seems to me that this is the property by which we are primarily conscious of the existence & presence of Matter, though I see that Mr. Preston in his "Theory of Heat" expresses himself differently. - To call weight a property of Matter is always a puzzle to me, because ultimately it would make us regard force as a property of matter & this is inadmissible on the hypothesis of inertia. - I never quite see my way out of this. - Is there a way out? -

I am taking in Science Progress and was very much interested in your paper on "Physical Science