

2/72

2, GROVE PARK,
LIVERPOOL.

14. 3. 97

m d q


I am very sorry about the date, but saying this
how is it your disposal. There is the bed &
then are the beds, & you can take them
or leave them as it suits your convenience.

I am sorry you are going for London again. Undoubtedly they
will jump at you.

As to Toronto it is £22, not £2. I am writing to
Suffolk to cheer you up on this point. If you do
I think I shall. If not I shall. Let's look
at the Parisian on Aug 5th. What do you say?
Make Dickens fix meeting on 4th.

As to your Doppler system, it could apply to a vibrating
of many source, i.e. one whose vibration caused the radiation
& whose motions alter the frequency.
but if its motions cause the radiation then we can hardly
Dopplify them too?

2/72



... a vibrating body vibrating in the line of hearing or seeing would give odd effects?

Hansen I agree that an atomic magnetized with seems rather excessive. It would give a

most infernally bright light, on any of the ordinary views concerning probable ratios between amplitude & wavelength even close to the sun.

So that could make a quite small & introduce no trouble of any kind.

Have you seen today yesterday's copy of the Engineer?

It has an article on Marconi as inventor of Hertz or as Pearson's X-ray number or anything

else.

Yours ever

A