

be compelled to state once
more ~~in~~ my belief that the
view expressed in the paragraph
page 2 to which I have
attached the x is ~~not~~ at
variance with the funda-
mental laws of electric action.
I do not think the abstract we
do present states with publishing.
Is it possible that Keulemans
cannot help to state
clearly what his results are
with ^{God's} Soup and water?



27/20
Queenwood 19th Nov. 1852.

My dear Francis.

I am a poor late
and the reason is that your
~~the latter~~ ~~found me~~ ~~in bed~~
to which I have been confined
all day. It is regard to Galv as the
matter makes very little impression
on me. Such an event is no
new thing and therefore one
can contemplate it without
surprise - I rejoice to learn
that Huxley has been so
distinguished - never mind

both of ~~us~~ us will ~~still~~ yet
compel the world to find
us head and better. You
did not send me his
letter as you intended.

Return Meluhob - His
as you say an exceedingly
interesting paper ~~and~~ will
be read in England with
avidity. My first idea
^{in face} was to ~~introduce~~ it by
a few words of introduction
but this would compel

you to alter your present
arrangement and it
is not worth while to do
so. I am working at the
second paper.

Send you Keble's
~~to~~ abstract. My opinion is that
if he permitted his theories
of Mucous chords & so forth to
take less hold of him and
employed himself more to the
fact of the case it would
be much better. I feel myself

My name to the papers of Belvidere
which explain the J. I. attached
to one of the notes.

I wish I had something
better than sympathy to offer
for poor Mr Taylor - it is a sad
affair - but you must
support yourself in the Council,
that you have left no means
untried which seemed
likely to promote his cure
Very yours
R. M. D. M.

I return you also my own paper
whether the letter occur in pair such
as mm' bb' &c. &c. a space or
a comma ought to be placed
between them - If you cast your
eye on the figure you will see
that they refer to distinct things,
whereas bb' &c would appear
as intended to point out a
single thing - is it not so?
b is one thing b' is another.

I also return the paper
of Clausius. I have taken