

and return to London a few  
days afterwards.

I send you a short-tit-bit  
to make up weight. His matter,  
and will be read with interest.  
It is cut off from an article in  
No. 5 of Poppendaff.

I shall be glad to look  
over De la Rive and trust he  
will give me an opportunity  
of praising him for I am almost  
tired finding fault.

ever yours sincerely  
John Tyndall

27/23 Sunday 19<sup>th</sup> Dec, 1852

My dear Francis

I return you the papers  
of Eddie and Phillips. I do not at all  
think that the former has successfully  
met the case, nevertheless I should be  
reluctant to advise the suppression  
of his paper. I at first intended to  
propose a short reply in the answers  
to correspondents but on reconsideration  
it is, I am inclined to think, better  
to print it as it is. If you thought  
well of it I would write to Eddie  
and point out where his reasoning  
fails; I shall either do this a <sup>reserved</sup> ~~reserved~~

my remarks for the next no. of the  
Magazine, whichever you like.

Reuber's paper gives here  
and there evidence of singular acuteness  
and here and there also every mind  
evidence of a rather extraordinary  
tendency to the worse - I don't think  
you can do better than print it  
as it stands.

I cannot obtain any  
distinct information regarding  
the superannuated hours - ~~at~~  
Mr. Watts's paper I think may  
be printed.

I agree with your decision

regarding Turbulo - as the review  
is written it may be printed.  
But I am inclined to think that  
it is a misapplication of criticism  
to bestow it on such books - When  
a man in high places says  
anything absurd let us have  
a shot at him but the legion  
of unknown speculators had he it  
would be better to pass in silence.

I am rejoiced to hear of  
Mr. Taylor's improvement and I  
wish him and you a happy Christmas.

I may possibly take a run  
to Manchester at the end of the