

can I think do that I can have the
in an the University. In any
at present, but if it was generally
acknowledged that it was the
representation Physical Sciences
in England its sale abroad would
I am sure be more than
doubled & the extra expenditure covered.
Personally I should prefer a
bigger issue every month to me a
bimonthly one. — Perhaps the
Cambridge Philosophical Society might
be approached; if they combine with the
Phil. Mag. other local societies probably
withhold — but someone must lead &

Victoria Park
Manchester 27th Jan 91.

6/11

Dear Fitzgerald

Lamb has shown me your
letter, I altogether sympathise
in your object — but it will
want a good many efforts to
carry out your excellent reform.
Unfortunately we have just
two years ago entered into
a new arrangement about

our publications and I am
afraid the Council would not care
to ~~alter these~~ make a new change
so soon. — The difficulties are
then: What about our biological
papers? ~~unless~~ We really want
a reform all round — One English
publication for ^{each separate} ~~its~~ subjects
but Physics may lead the
way if the question of expense
can be overcome. At present it

L(11)
Constantly happens that some of
us read a paper at the Phil. Soc
here & then send it to the Phil. Mag.
It is published there without
expense to the Society or to the
author. Would not our Council
have been justified in refusing an
extra expenditure by having the
Phil. Mag. for what they can now
get done for nothing. I do not
know how far the Phil. Mag. pays

a strong leaning after the explanation
of gas under disproof of your
conclusion experiments, for not
only with mixture of gases but also
not in liquid solutions it can be
shown that the nearer of similar
particles affects the overtones
of the vibrations

Forgive my not knowing of
your British Air Speculations
at present

Yours sincerely
Alma Adams

L/11